

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/843,781	04/30/2001	Woo Hyuk Choi	041501-5423	1083
7590 01/15/2004 Morgan, Lewis & Bockins LLP			EXAMINER	
			QI, ZHI QIANG	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW Washington, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 ,			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Dr.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/843,781	CHOI, WOO HYUK				
£	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mike Qi	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension						
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
X For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,11-19,21-24 and 26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
. Other:						

• -

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. Because the references Salisbury and Henley disclose the the idea and the principle for repair the bus line short circuit by severed line portions and using repair pattern bypass, and the bypass pattern overlapping the pixel electrode would be conventional as the size of the pixel electrode larger that would increase the aperture ratio and would overlapp the repair pattern; and to fill insulating material between the two conductive materials (to increase the insulating effect between the two cinductive segments) also is conventional such as using silicon ixide or silicon nitride; and such repair strucure would improve the prodution yields, and that is a motivation.